

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/649,516	08/29/2000	AKIHIRO YAMADA	107170	9098	
25944 75	90 03/24/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928			LUU, LE HIEN		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2141	q	
			DATE MAILED: 03/24/2004	١ .	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•				
•		Application No. Applicant(s)		
		09/649,516	YAMADA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Le H Luu	2141	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet v	ith the correspondence address	
THE - Extraorder - If th - If N - Fail - Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communical BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 F	ebruary 2004 .		
2a)[This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	is action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowards closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal ma Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	atters, prosecution as to the meri .D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	its is
Disposit	tion of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application	ı .		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-7 and 14-27</u> is/are	withdrawn from consider	ation.	
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>8-13</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•		
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept	oted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.	
_	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-	aminer.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in A	Application No	
* (3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestion			ation).
a	a) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	visional application has t	een received.	,
Attachmer		,		
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/649,516

Art Unit: 2141

- 1. Claims 1-27 are presented for examination.
- 2. Applicant's election with traverse of claims 8-13 in Paper No. 8 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that all claims 1-27 is sufficiently related that a thorough search for the subject matter of any one Group of claims would encompass a search for the subject matter of the remaining claims. This is not found persuasive because there are four different inventions in this patent application. The inventions are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other as discussed in paper no. 7. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: These inventions have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, and the search required for one Group is not required for the other Groups. For the reasons above restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.
- 3. Applicant is required to cancel the non-elected claims 1-7 and 14-27 in responding to this office action.
- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made

Art Unit: 2141

to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 5. Claims 8-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Mori patent no. 6,089,765, in view of Whitehouse et al. (Whitehouse) patent no. 6,687,684.
- 6. As to claim 8, Mori teaches the invention substantially as claimed, including a printing system for performing printing operations on a plurality of print data sets, the printing system comprising:

a reprint setter that sets, for each print data set, a reprint representing reprinting operations are to be performed on each print data set, and that appends, as appended information, a corresponding reprint command to each of the plurality of print data sets (col. 5 lines 39-64; col. 6 lines 33-44);

a holder that holds the plurality of print data sets as targets of reprint processes (col. 4 lines 26-29);

a reprint controller that retrieves, from the holder, a print data set for which a reprint command is received and that controls reprint processes for the retrieved print data set (col. 5 lines 39 - col. 6 line 3); and

a print data eraser that erases, from the holder, each print data set that the reprint controller has used for reprint processes for a number of times (col. 4 lines 56-65; col. 7 lines 52-61).

Art Unit: 2141

Page 4

However, Mori does not explicitly teach setting a reprint number representing an upper limit.

Whitehouse teaches setting a limit on the number of reprint requests (col. 2 lines 26-40; col. 5 lines 30-49).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the Data Processing art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Mori and Whitehouse to set a reprint number representing an upper limit because it would ensure efficient fraud protection.

- 7. As to claim 9, Whitehouse teaches the reprint number setter reduces the reprint number for a print data set each time the reprint controller uses the print data set for a reprint operation (col. 6 lines 42-53).
- 8. As to claim 10, Mori teaches the print data eraser erases a print data set from the holder when the reprint number setter changes the reprint number for the print data set to zero (col. 4 lines 56-65; col. 7 lines 52-61).
- 9. As to claim 11, Mori teaches a reprint number change preventor that prevents the reprint number setter from changing the reprint number set for each print data set (col. 6 lines 25-32).

Art Unit: 2141

10. As to claim 12, Mori teaches a client device for preparing the print data sets; and a print controller for controlling printing processes performed for the print data sets, wherein the client device includes: the reprint number setter; and a transmitter that transmits, to the print controller, print data and appended information including the reprint number; and the print controller includes: a receiver that receives, from the client device, print data and the appended information including the reprint number; the holder; the reprint controller; and the print data eraser (Mori, col. 3 line 44 - col. 4 line 55; col. 7 lines 52-61; Whitehouse, col. 2 lines 26-40; col. 5 lines 30-49).

- 11. Claim 13 has similar limitations as claim 1; therefore, they are rejected under the same rationale.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Le H. Luu, whose telephone number is (703) 305-9650. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 7:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rupal Dharia, can be reached at (703) 305-4003. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 746-7239.

Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

Art Unit: 2141

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306, (for formal communications; please mark "EXPEDITED PROCEDURE").

Or:

(703) 872-9306 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

PRIMARY EXAMINER

March 15, 2004